# REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE SHELBY COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006



## CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE (502) 573-0050 FACSIMILE (502) 573-0067

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE SHELBY COUNTY SHERIFF

### For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the Shelby County Sheriff's audit for the year ended December 31, 2006. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting.

#### **Financial Condition:**

Excess fees decreased by \$27,058 from the prior year, resulting in excess fees of \$332,702 as of December 31, 2006. Revenues increased by \$50,754 from the prior year and expenditures increased by \$77,812.

#### **Report Comment:**

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation of Duties

#### **Deposits:**

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND	
On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	11
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	15



The Honorable Rob Rothenburger, Shelby County Judge/Executive The Honorable Mike Armstrong, Shelby County Sheriff Members of the Shelby County Fiscal Court

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

We have audited the accompanying statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees regulatory basis of the Sheriff of Shelby County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2006. This financial statement is the responsibility of the Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a regulatory basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees of the Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated June 29, 2007 on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



The Honorable Rob Rothenburger, Shelby County Judge/Executive The Honorable Mike Armstrong, Shelby County Sheriff Members of the Shelby County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation of Duties

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Sheriff and Fiscal Court of Shelby County, Kentucky, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these interested parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

June 29, 2007

## SHELBY COUNTY MIKE ARMSTRONG, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

#### For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

#### Revenues

State Fees For Services:			
Finance and Administration Cabinet	\$ 45,790		
Sheriff Security Service	61,669		
Fugitive Transport	8,787		
Cabinet For Human Resources	1,639	\$	117,885
Cubinot 1 of 11 amun 10 sources	 1,000	Ψ	117,000
Circuit Court Clerk:			
Fines and Fees Collected			3,764
			-,,
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes			2,320
Commission On Taxes Collected			648,509
Fees Collected For Services:			
Auto Inspections	13,416		
Tax Penalty Fees	66,451		
Serving Papers	39,169		
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	14,100		133,136
, ,	<u> </u>		ŕ
Other:			
Fiscal Court Filing Fees Collected	18,895		
Copywork	2,692		
Miscellaneous	11,858		33,445
Interest Earned			25,991
Borrowed Money:			
State Advancement			165,000
			_
Total Revenues			1,130,050
<u>Expenditures</u>			
Operating Expenditures and Capital Outlay:			
Personnel Services-			
Deputies' Salaries	213,327		
Employee Benefits-	210,027		
Employee's Share Social Security	21,745		
Employer's Paid Insurance	34,117		
Contracted Services-	J <del>1,</del> 117		
Advertising	7,900		
Vehicle Maintenance and Repairs	20,682		
venice mainenance and repairs	20,002		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

#### SHELBY COUNTY

#### MIKE ARMSTRONG, SHERIFF

### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

(Continued)

#### Expenditures (Continued)

Operating Expenditures and Capital Outlay: (Continued)			
Materials and Supplies-	¢	<i>5 52</i> 0	
Office Materials and Supplies	\$	5,529	
Uniforms		22,552	
Auto Expense-			
Gasoline		66,047	
Other Charges-			
Fiscal Court Filing Fees		18,815	
Supplies		7,164	
Training		372	
Transport Prisoners		8,349	
Dues		2,101	
Postage		9,245	
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapons Permits		9,840	
Telephone		5,750	
Computer Repairs		3,866	
Miscellaneous		12,620	
Capital Outlay-			
Office Equipment		3,118	
Vehicles		44,534	
Computers		17,770	
Vehicle Equipment		14,124	\$ 549,567
Debt Service:			
State Advancement			165,000
Total Expenditures			714,567
Net Revenues			415,483
Less: Statutory Maximum		79,247	
Training Incentive Benefit		3,534	 82,781
Excess Fees Due County for 2006			332,702
Poyments to Figure Count April 2, 2007		330,264	
Payments to Fiscal Court - April 3, 2007 - May 21, 2007		2,438	332,702
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit			\$ 0

#### SHELBY COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2006

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of revenues over expenditures to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

KRS 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the Sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.310 requires the Sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court at the time he files his final settlement with the fiscal court.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this regulatory basis of accounting revenues and expenditures are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) at December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2006 services
- Reimbursements for 2006 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2006

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

SHELBY COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2006 (Continued)

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan that covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members.

Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 10.98 percent for the first six months and 13.19 percent for the last six months of the year. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 8 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 25.01 percent for the first six months and 28.21 percent for the last six months of the year.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

#### Note 3. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

#### Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of December 31, 2006, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

SHELBY COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2006 (Continued)

#### Note 4. D.A.R.E. Account

The Sheriff maintained a Drug Abuse Resistance Education account during 2006. The beginning balance was \$9,923. Receipts for the year were \$8,765 and disbursements were \$4,508, leaving a balance of \$14,180 as of December 31, 2006.

#### Note 5. Confiscated Drug Fund

The Sheriff used a Confiscated Drug Fund to account for funds seized in drug and other criminal cases which the courts or other law enforcement agencies awarded to the Sheriff. The beginning balance was \$17,667. Receipts for the year were \$32,278 and disbursements were \$27,396, leaving a balance of \$22,549 on December 31, 2006.

Note 6. Shelby County Federal Drug Money

The Sheriff maintained a Federal Drug Money account during 2006. The beginning balance was \$8,112. Receipts for the year were \$20 and disbursements were \$0, leaving a balance of \$8,132 on December 31, 2006.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



## CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Rob Rothenburger, Shelby County Judge/Executive The Honorable Mike Armstrong, Shelby County Sheriff Members of the Shelby County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees - regulatory basis of the Shelby County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated June 29, 2007. The Sheriff's financial statement is prepared in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Shelby County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Shelby County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Shelby County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with the regulatory basis of accounting such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control over financial reporting. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation of Duties



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statement will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

#### **Compliance And Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Shelby County Sheriff's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2006, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards,

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Shelby County Fiscal Court, and the Kentucky Governor's Office for Local Development and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

**Auditor of Public Accounts** 

June 29, 2007



## SHELBY COUNTY MIKE ARMSTRONG, SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

#### INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

#### The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

During our review of the internal control, we found that the office has a lack of segregation of duties. The bookkeeper has custody of assets, the authority to initiate expenditure transactions, performs all accounting entries, and performs month-end bank reconciliations. These duties would be shared among several employees in a segregated control environment. However, the lack of segregation of duties is hereby noted as a control design deficiency pursuant to professional auditing standards. We believe this significant deficiency as described above is a material weakness. We recommend that the following compensating controls be implemented to offset this internal control weakness:

- The Sheriff should periodically agree daily collection totals to receipts ledger and deposit slip then initial the deposit slip and checkout sheet.
- The Sheriff should periodically compare the bank reconciliation to the balance in the checkbook then initial bank reconciliation.

Sheriff's Response: No Response.